

# Appellate Justice In England And The United States A Comparative Analysis

## Appellate Justice in England and the United States: A Comparative Analysis

Understanding the intricacies of appellate justice systems is crucial for anyone interested in law, politics, or comparative governance. This article offers a comparative analysis of appellate justice in England and the United States, highlighting key differences and similarities in their structures, procedures, and philosophies. We will explore the distinct approaches to judicial review, the role of precedent, and the overall impact on the administration of justice. Key areas we'll examine include the **court structures**, **judicial precedent**, **judicial review**, and the **role of juries**.

### Court Structures: A Tale of Two Systems

The most striking difference lies in the court structures themselves. The English system, rooted in common law tradition, features a hierarchical appellate structure leading ultimately to the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom. This pyramidal model provides a clear path for appeals, with each court focusing on specific types of cases and levels of appellate review. The **Supreme Court of the United Kingdom**, the highest court of appeal, hears appeals on points of law from lower courts across the UK.

In contrast, the US system, while also based on common law, exhibits a more decentralized and complex structure. Each state maintains its own court system, with appeals typically flowing through state supreme courts before potentially reaching the US Supreme Court. This federal system, characterized by **federalism**, allows for significant variation in appellate procedures and case outcomes across different states. The US Supreme Court's jurisdiction is limited primarily to cases involving federal law or disputes between states, resulting in a less comprehensive final appellate authority compared to the UK Supreme Court.

#### ### Specialized Courts and Appeals

Both systems also utilize specialized courts at the appellate level. In England, there are specialized appellate courts for areas like family law and the Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) for criminal cases. This specialization ensures expertise in handling complex legal issues within specific fields. The United States mirrors this approach to a degree with its specialized federal courts, for example, the US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit which handles patent cases. However, the vast number of state court systems leads to greater diversity in specialized appellate courts within the US.

### Judicial Precedent: Stare Decisis and Beyond

The doctrine of *stare decisis*, meaning "to stand by things decided," is central to both legal systems. This principle emphasizes the importance of precedent, whereby courts follow prior decisions on similar cases. However, the application and weight of precedent differ significantly. In England, the Supreme Court's decisions are binding on all lower courts, creating a strong hierarchical system of precedent. This leads to greater predictability and consistency in legal outcomes within the English legal system, significantly influencing legal practice and legal scholarship.

The US system, while adhering to *stare decisis*, exhibits a more nuanced approach. While Supreme Court decisions are binding on all lower federal courts, state courts are not bound by federal precedents on matters of state law. Furthermore, the Supreme Court itself can overturn its own prior rulings, although this is rare. This flexibility allows for greater evolution of the law but can lead to greater uncertainty and inconsistency across different jurisdictions within the United States.

### Judicial Review: A Powerful Tool

Judicial review, the power of courts to review and invalidate laws passed by the legislature, is a cornerstone of both systems but plays out differently. In the United States, judicial review is explicitly established through landmark cases and embedded in constitutional jurisprudence. The US Supreme Court's power of judicial review is arguably more powerful and more frequently exercised than that of its UK counterpart.

In England, the power of judicial review is less entrenched and primarily focuses on the legality

of government action, not the constitutionality of legislation. The UK Supreme Court lacks the same sweeping power to strike down primary legislation as the US Supreme Court possesses. Instead, the UK's judicial review process primarily focuses on ensuring that government actions are compliant with existing laws and principles of legality.

## The Role of Juries

The use of juries also reveals a key difference. While both systems utilize juries in certain criminal trials, their role differs in the appeals process. In England, jury verdicts in criminal cases are generally not subject to appellate review on the facts, meaning that the appellate courts are less likely to interfere with jury decision making. In the United States, the scope of appellate review for jury decisions is more extensive, leading to more appeals and potential overturning of jury verdicts. This reflects differing legal cultures and levels of trust placed upon the jury system itself.

## Conclusion: A Comparative Perspective

Appellate justice in England and the United States, while sharing common roots in common law, exhibit significant differences in structure, procedure, and philosophy. The English system emphasizes a hierarchical and centralized structure, with a strong emphasis on binding precedent and a more limited scope of judicial review. The US system, characterized by federalism, presents a more decentralized and complex structure, allowing for greater legal diversity but also greater potential for inconsistency. Both systems, however, strive to ensure fair and just outcomes through their respective appellate processes. Understanding these differences is critical for grasping the distinct characteristics of each nation's legal systems.

## FAQ

**Q1: Can a case be appealed multiple times in either system?**

A1: Yes, but the possibilities are limited. In both systems, appeals are not unlimited. There are rules and restrictions on the grounds for appeal and the number of appeals allowed. Generally, appeals are only permitted on points of law, not on the facts of the case, unless there is a significant miscarriage of justice.

**Q2: What is the role of amicus curiae briefs in each system?**

A2: Amicus curiae briefs ("friend of the court" briefs) are filed by third parties who are not directly involved in the case but have an interest in the outcome. Both systems allow for amicus briefs. They play a significant role in shaping legal arguments, particularly in cases with broad societal implications.

**Q3: How long does the appellate process typically take?**

A3: The length of the appellate process varies significantly depending on the complexity of the case and the court's workload. In both systems, it can range from several months to several years. Complex commercial litigation or constitutional cases may take considerably longer.

**Q4: What remedies are available on appeal?**

A4: Appellate courts have various remedies available, including overturning lower court decisions, ordering new trials, or modifying judgments. The specific remedy depends on the nature of the error found and the applicable law.

**Q5: How does the cost of appellate litigation compare in England and the US?**

A5: Appellate litigation is generally expensive in both countries, and the costs involved can be a significant barrier to access to justice. The US system is often criticized for its high litigation costs, particularly in complex cases.

**Q6: Are there any movements to reform appellate justice in either country?**

A6: Yes, ongoing discussions about reform exist in both systems. In the United States, debates often revolve around access to justice, cost-effectiveness, and the Supreme Court's role in shaping national policy. In England, reform discussions are concerned with efficiency, the impact of Brexit on jurisprudence, and potential improvements to case management.

**Q7: What is the role of legal scholarship in shaping appellate decisions?**

A7: Legal scholarship, including academic articles, books, and legal commentaries, plays a significant role in shaping the arguments and considerations of appellate judges. Judges often reference scholarly work to support their reasoning and to explore broader implications of their decisions.

**Q8: How do these appellate systems influence the development of the common law?**

A8: The appellate courts in both systems are instrumental in the evolution of the common law. Their decisions interpret and clarify existing laws, create new precedents, and help adapt the legal system to contemporary social and economic conditions. The differing structures and approaches to judicial review lead to unique patterns of legal development in each country.

## Appellate Justice in England and the United States: A Comparative Analysis

### Introduction:

Understanding the systems of court re-examination is vital for anyone interested in the operation of liberal societies. This article offers a thorough comparative analysis of appellate justice in England and the United States, two principal examples of common law jurisdictions. While both states hold a common court heritage, significant differences exist in their respective appellate structures, procedures, and philosophies. This analysis will explore these discrepancies and emphasize their consequences for access to justice and the total effectiveness of their judicial systems.

### The Structure of Appellate Courts:

The English and American appellate structures contrast considerably. England boasts a singular hierarchical system, culminating in the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom. Below this apex court are the Court of Appeal (Civil and Criminal Divisions) and numerous specialized higher courts. The method is linear; appeals progress sequentially up the hierarchy.

In contrast, the United States displays a more complex framework of federal and state judiciaries. The federal system includes the Supreme Court, followed by numerous circuit courts of appeal, and then district courts. Each state also possesses its own independent appellate system, often including intermediate appellate courts and a state supreme court. This decentralized method results in substantial difference in methods and cases across different jurisdictions.

### Appellate Procedures and Standards of Review:

The methods involved in appellate re-examination also display significant differences. In England, the appeal process is usually more structured, with tight time limits and precise requirements for filing appeals. The standard of review often focuses on faults of law, with a relatively deferential approach towards findings of fact.

The American system, on the other hand, is characterized by a wider range of standards of appeal, depending on the nature of the lower tribunal's decision. This includes *de novo* review (a fresh review of the evidence), clearly erroneous review (for factual findings), and abuse of discretion appeal (for legal discretion). Furthermore, the procedure itself tends to be much less formal, with a higher focus on written submissions and oral pleas.

### Access to Justice and Efficiency:

The contrasting structures and processes have significant effects for access to justice and the total efficiency of the frameworks. The unified nature of the English system arguably offers larger coherence and foreseeability, although concerns regarding accessibility to specialized knowledge remain.

The federalist nature of the American system, while providing higher versatility, can lead to variations in results across various jurisdictions and possibly limit availability to justice for certain populations. Furthermore, the intricacy of the American framework can result in lengthier and much expensive appeals procedures.

### Conclusion:

The comparative analysis of appellate justice in England and the United States reveals basic differences in their systems, methods, and philosophies. While both countries endeavor to secure equitable and productive legal review, the precise processes they utilize differ considerably, showing their distinct legal histories and governmental environments. Understanding these differences is important for scholars, practitioners, and anyone seeking to improve the governance of justice.

### Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ):

Q1: What is the primary difference between the English and American appellate systems?

A1: The main difference lies in the structure. England has a unified hierarchical system, while the U.S. has a federalist structure with both federal and state courts.

Q2: Which structure is far efficient?

A2: Determining which is much efficient is challenging. The English framework can be faster, but the American system allows for higher adaptability.

Q3: How do the measures of review differ?

A3: American tribunals use a wider spectrum of standards of review, such as \*de novo\* appeal, while English tribunals tend to focus mainly on errors of law.

Q4: What are the consequences of these differences for availability to justice?

A4: The singular English system may offer higher uniformity, but the federalist American framework may provide larger access in specific circumstances.

[http://www.planitz.com/course/220926/646284N24G/PPT/toshiba\\_ct\\_90428\\_manual.pdf](http://www.planitz.com/course/220926/646284N24G/PPT/toshiba_ct_90428_manual.pdf)  
[http://www.planitz.com/text/015358/A46123D/PPT/telecharger\\_livre\\_gestion\\_financiere\\_gratuit.pdf](http://www.planitz.com/text/015358/A46123D/PPT/telecharger_livre_gestion_financiere_gratuit.pdf)  
<http://www.planitz.com/journal/220926/581014X5H3/EB00K/dimage-a2-manual.pdf>  
[http://www.planitz.com/chap/7931K60/7750K61039/DOC/krav\\_maga\\_manual.pdf](http://www.planitz.com/chap/7931K60/7750K61039/DOC/krav_maga_manual.pdf)  
<http://www.planitz.com/short/J91851K/220926/B00K/enegb-funtastic-teaching.pdf>  
[http://www.planitz.com/short/6B50N52/220926/B00K/logical-database\\_design\\_principles\\_foundations\\_of\\_database\\_design.pdf](http://www.planitz.com/short/6B50N52/220926/B00K/logical-database_design_principles_foundations_of_database_design.pdf)  
[http://www.planitz.com/chap/D76738G/220926/PPT/yanmar\\_industrial-diesel\\_engine\\_4tne94\\_4tne98\\_4tne106\\_4tne106t-service-repair\\_manual\\_instant.pdf](http://www.planitz.com/chap/D76738G/220926/PPT/yanmar_industrial-diesel_engine_4tne94_4tne98_4tne106_4tne106t-service-repair_manual_instant.pdf)  
[http://www.planitz.com/slide/80980LY/387365LY62/CHAPTER/european-report-on\\_preventing\\_elder\\_mal\\_treatment.pdf](http://www.planitz.com/slide/80980LY/387365LY62/CHAPTER/european-report-on_preventing_elder_mal_treatment.pdf)  
[http://www.planitz.com/ref/015358/WY17394/RTF/manual\\_dodge-caravan\\_dvd\\_player.pdf](http://www.planitz.com/ref/015358/WY17394/RTF/manual_dodge-caravan_dvd_player.pdf)  
[http://www.planitz.com/course/015358/44877X379N/PAGE/aimsweb\\_national\\_norms\\_table-maze-comprehension.pdf](http://www.planitz.com/course/015358/44877X379N/PAGE/aimsweb_national_norms_table-maze-comprehension.pdf)